

The Holographic Circlette: Part XVIII

The Feshbach Mechanism, the Colour Firewall, and the Pati-Salam Identification

D. G. Elliman*

Neuro-Symbolic Ltd, Gloucestershire, United Kingdom

February 2026

Abstract

We demonstrate that the mass-generation mechanism of the Standard Model emerges naturally from the Feshbach projection of the 8-bit circlette walk operator through the R4-forbidden right-handed neutrino (ν_R) channel. Three structural results are established by exact computation on \mathbb{F}_2^8 : (i) a *Colour Firewall Theorem* proves that no path of any length connects a quark state to ν_R within the R1+R2+R3-valid code space, establishing topologically distinct mass mechanisms for quarks and leptons; (ii) the Feshbach projection algebraically converts the characteristic polynomial of the lepton block from the golden ratio ($x^2 - x - 1 = 0$) to the silver ratio ($x^2 - 2x - 1 = 0$), the fundamental eigenvalue of the 4.8.8 lattice octagon; (iii) extending to a double Feshbach projection through R3-violating intermediates reveals that quarks couple to the ν_R pole via virtual leptoquark states whose quantum numbers and energy scale match the X/Y bosons of grand unification. These results identify the four parity-check rules R1–R4 with the four stages of the Pati-Salam symmetry-breaking chain $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \rightarrow SU(3)_C \times U(1)_{EM}$, and demonstrate that the hierarchy problem is dissolved by the $\sim 10^{-26}$ suppression of the fundamental quark–Higgs coupling behind the colour firewall.

1 Introduction

In the Standard Model, all fermion masses originate from Yukawa couplings to a single scalar Higgs doublet. The 13 free parameters of the Yukawa sector (6 quark masses, 3 lepton masses, 3 CKM angles, 1 Higgs quartic) are unexplained, and the large top-quark Yukawa coupling $y_t \approx 1$ generates quadratic divergences that destabilise the Higgs mass—the hierarchy problem [1].

In the Holographic Circlette framework, fermions are codewords of an 8-bit quantum error-correcting code on \mathbb{F}_2^8 , with four parity-check rules (R1–R4) selecting 45 valid matter states from 256. Mass arises from constraint violation energy—the Landauer cost [2] of evaluating the code’s parity checks. In this paper, we formalise the mass-generation mechanism as a Feshbach resonance [3] through the R4-forbidden ν_R channel and derive its consequences for the symmetry-breaking structure of the Standard Model.

2 The Feshbach Projection

2.1 State space partition

The 8-bit code space \mathbb{F}_2^8 with bits $(G_0, G_1, \chi, I_3, LQ, C_0, C_1, W)$ is partitioned by the four rules:

- **R1** (Generation bound): $(G_0, G_1) \neq (1, 1)$.

*dave@neusym.ai

- **R2** (Chirality–weak lock): $W = \chi$.
- **R3** (Lepton–colour equivalence): $LQ=0 \Leftrightarrow (C_0, C_1) = (0, 0)$.
- **R4** (Right-handed neutrino exclusion): $\neg(LQ=0 \wedge I_3=0 \wedge \chi=0)$.

Rules R1+R2+R3 admit 48 states. R4 removes exactly 3—the three right-handed neutrinos $\nu_{R,i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), one per generation—yielding the 45-state Standard Model fermion spectrum. The walk operator H on \mathbb{F}_2^8 consists of single-bit flips on the physical bits (χ, I_3, C_0, C_1) together with the CNOT gate $I_3 \oplus LQ$, restricted to the 48-state R1+R2+R3-valid subspace.

We partition the 48-space into:

$$P = \{45 \text{ valid states}\}, \quad (1)$$

$$Q = \{3 \nu_R \text{ pseudocodewords}\}, \quad (2)$$

and write the walk Hamiltonian in block form:

$$H_{48} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{PP} & H_{PQ} \\ H_{QP} & H_{QQ} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

2.2 The self-energy operator

The Feshbach self-energy at energy E is:

$$\Sigma(E) = H_{PQ} (E \mathbb{I} - H_{QQ})^{-1} H_{QP}, \quad (4)$$

and the effective Hamiltonian on the physical 45-space is $H_{\text{eff}}(E) = H_{PP} + \Sigma(E)$. Exact computation yields:

1. $H_{QQ} = \mathbb{I}_{3 \times 3}$: the three ν_R states have identical self-energy (degenerate across generations), with a resonance pole at $E = 1$.
2. H_{PQ} has exactly 6 non-zero entries: for each generation, $e_R \rightarrow \nu_R$ (via I_3 flip) and $\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_R$ (via χ flip), both with unit amplitude.
3. No quark state has non-zero H_{PQ} .

The self-energy $\Sigma(0)$ has **rank 3**, with three degenerate non-zero eigenvalues $\lambda = 2$ (one per generation), and 42 zero eigenvalues. Only six states— e_R and ν_L in each generation—acquire tree-level mass from the ν_R channel.

3 The Colour Firewall Theorem

Theorem 1 (Colour Firewall). *No path of any length within the R1+R2+R3-valid 48-space connects any quark state to any ν_R pseudocodeword.*

Proof. The ν_R states have $LQ = 0$, $C_0 = C_1 = 0$ (lepton, colourless). Any quark state has $LQ = 1$, $(C_0, C_1) \in \{01, 10, 11\}$. The only operation that changes LQ is the CNOT gate ($I_3 \oplus LQ$), which requires $I_3 = 1$ and flips $LQ: 1 \rightarrow 0$.

After CNOT, the state has $LQ = 0$ but $(C_0, C_1) \neq (0, 0)$. This violates R3 ($LQ=0 \Leftrightarrow C=00$), placing the state outside the 48-space. The colour-bit flips (C_0, C_1) can change the colour index but cannot reach $(0, 0)$ from any coloured state in a single step while maintaining $LQ = 1$ (which would also violate R3 in the reverse direction).

Since every attempted pathway exits the valid code space at the LQ-colour boundary, no finite sequence of valid hops connects any quark to any ν_R . This is confirmed computationally: $H_{i,j}^n = 0$ for all quark states i , all ν_R states j , and all $n \leq 8$ (the diameter of Q_8). \square

Corollary 1 (Dual Mass Generation). *Quark and lepton masses arise from topologically distinct mechanisms. Leptons acquire mass through the ν_R Feshbach channel (electroweak scale); quarks acquire mass through QCD confinement and algorithmic inertia [8, 9] (QCD scale).*

Corollary 2 (Topological Origin of $m_d > m_u$). *The stability of the proton—and hence the existence of hydrogen—is a shortest-path theorem on \mathbb{F}_2^8 . The down quark ($I_3 = 1$) can fire the CNOT gate immediately, reaching the R3 boundary in 3 hops; the up quark ($I_3 = 0$) requires an additional isospin flip, reaching it in 4 hops. This structural asymmetry ensures $\Sigma(d_R) > \Sigma(u_R)$ and hence $m_d > m_u$, with zero free parameters.*

4 The Algebraic Transition: Golden Ratio to Silver Ratio

The Generation 1 lepton Hamiltonian restricted to the 4-state block (ν_R, e_R, ν_L, e_L) has characteristic polynomial with roots:

$$\{-\varphi, 2 - \varphi, \varphi - 1, \varphi + 1\}, \quad (5)$$

where $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ is the golden ratio, satisfying $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$.

After the Feshbach projection (integrating out ν_R), the effective 3×3 Hamiltonian on (e_R, ν_L, e_L) has eigenvalues:

$$\{-(1 + \sqrt{2}), \sqrt{2} - 1, 1\}. \quad (6)$$

The massive eigenvalues satisfy $x^2 - 2x - 1 = 0$ —the silver ratio equation, whose root $\sqrt{2}$ is the fundamental eigenvalue of the C_8 octagon on the 4.8.8 lattice [9].

Three structural identities follow:

1. **Seesaw product:** $|E_1| \cdot |E_2| = (1 + \sqrt{2})(\sqrt{2} - 1) = 1$ (exact).
2. **Mass ratio:** $|E_1|/|E_2| = 3 + 2\sqrt{2} \approx 5.83$ (the silver ratio squared).
3. **Resonance decoupling:** $E_3 = 1 = H_{QQ}$. The third eigenstate is the pure left-handed doublet $(\nu_L + e_L)/\sqrt{2}$, sitting exactly at the Feshbach pole with zero e_R admixture.

5 Symmetry Breaking Pattern

The Feshbach self-energy Σ satisfies:

$$[\Sigma, \text{Colour}] = 0 \quad (\text{exact}), \quad (7)$$

$$[\Sigma, I_3] \neq 0, \quad (8)$$

establishing $SU(3)_C$ preservation and $SU(2)_L$ breaking—the correct electroweak symmetry-breaking pattern $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{\text{EM}}$.

The structural correspondence with the Higgs sector is:

Standard Model	Circlette Feshbach
Higgs doublet Φ (4 d.o.f.)	$3\nu_R + 1$ R4 rule
3 Goldstone $\rightarrow W^\pm, Z$	$3\nu_R$ (generation-indexed)
1 physical Higgs h	R4 Feshbach resonance pole
Higgs VEV $v = 246$ GeV	R4 constraint violation energy
Yukawa couplings y_f (free)	Hamming distance on Q_8 (fixed)

6 The Double Feshbach and Leptoquark Identification

6.1 Extension to the 96-space

Relaxing R3 while retaining R1+R2 yields a 96-state space. The 48 R3-violating intermediates have quantum numbers:

- *Coloured leptons*: $LQ = 0, (C_0, C_1) \neq (0, 0)$ — 36 states.
- *Colourless quarks*: $LQ = 1, (C_0, C_1) = (0, 0)$ — 12 states.

These are precisely the quantum numbers of scalar **leptoquarks**—the X and Y bosons of $SU(5)$ grand unification, or equivalently the gauge bosons mediating quark–lepton transitions in the Pati-Salam group $SU(4)_C$ [4].

6.2 Quark coupling through colour discharge

In the double Feshbach projection (integrating out both R3 and R4 violators as a combined 51-state closed channel), quarks acquire non-zero self-energy via the pathway:

$$\text{quark} \xrightarrow{\text{CNOT}} \text{leptoquark}^* \xrightarrow{C\text{-flip}} \text{lepton} \xrightarrow{I_3/\chi} \nu_R \text{ (pole)}. \quad (9)$$

The minimum step counts are:

State	Steps to ν_R	Mechanism
d_R	3	CNOT + C -discharge + I_3 -flip
u_R	4	I_3 -flip + CNOT + C -discharge + I_3 -flip
d_L	3	(alternate path via χ)
u_L	5	(requires additional chirality hop)

The down quark reaches the pole in fewer steps because the CNOT gate is controlled by I_3 : the down quark ($I_3 = 1$) can fire immediately; the up quark ($I_3 = 0$) cannot.

6.3 The physical R3 energy scale

In lattice units, all hops carry unit weight and the R3 barrier has zero gap. In physical units, the R3-violating intermediates are leptoquarks whose mass is constrained by proton stability. Super-Kamiokande bounds ($\tau_p > 10^{34}$ years) require $E_{R3} \gtrsim 10^{15}$ GeV [5].

The fundamental quark coupling to the Feshbach pole is therefore suppressed by the double propagator penalty:

$$y_q^{\text{fund}} \sim \Sigma_{\text{lattice}} \times \left(\frac{v}{E_{R3}} \right)^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1) \times \left(\frac{246 \text{ GeV}}{10^{15} \text{ GeV}} \right)^2 \sim 10^{-26}. \quad (10)$$

7 Dissolution of the Hierarchy Problem

In the Standard Model, the one-loop correction to the Higgs mass from a fermion of Yukawa coupling y_f is:

$$\Delta m_H^2 = -\frac{y_f^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda^2 + \dots \quad (11)$$

The top-quark contribution ($y_t \approx 1, \Lambda \sim M_P$) dominates, requiring fine-tuning to ~ 30 decimal places.

In the circlette framework, the fundamental top–Higgs coupling is $y_t^{\text{fund}} \sim 10^{-26}$ (Eq. 10). The resulting correction is:

$$\Delta m_H^2 \sim \frac{(10^{-26})^2}{8\pi^2} M_P^2 \sim 10^{-16} \text{ GeV}^2 \ll (125 \text{ GeV})^2. \quad (12)$$

The hierarchy problem is not ameliorated—it is annihilated. Only leptons run in the fundamental Feshbach loop, and their couplings are too small to destabilise the vacuum. The physical top quark acquires its large mass from QCD algorithmic inertia (Parts X, XV), not from the electroweak scalar sector.

7.1 The effective $t\bar{t}H$ coupling

The LHC measurement of $t\bar{t}H$ production [6] is not in conflict with $y_t^{\text{fund}} \sim 10^{-26}$. The observed coupling is an *effective acoustic coupling*: the Higgs boson, identified as the scalar phonon of the R4 constraint boundary, scatters off any massive lattice defect with an amplitude proportional to the defect’s mass.

The Ollivier-Ricci curvature perturbation from a mass M is $\Delta\kappa = -M/(3M_P)$, proven in Part XV to be exactly linear for all $M \in [0, M_P]$. This linearity guarantees:

$$g_{\text{eff}}(f\bar{f}H) \propto m_f, \quad (13)$$

reproducing the Standard Model prediction $g = m_f/v$ at tree level via a fundamentally different causal mechanism: mass causes Higgs coupling, not vice versa.

A falsifiable prediction follows: the effective $t\bar{t}H$ coupling does not run logarithmically with energy as predicted by the Standard Model renormalisation group, since the phonon coupling is set by the exact (non-perturbative) Ollivier-Ricci curvature. This deviation becomes measurable at $\sim 1\%$ precision, accessible to proposed e^+e^- Higgs factories [7].

8 The Pati-Salam Identification

The four parity-check rules of the circlette code map directly onto the four stages of the Pati-Salam [4] symmetry-breaking chain. Reading from high to low energy:

Rule	Violation	Physical Entity	Scale
R1	4th generation active	Cosmological boundary	$\sim M_P$
R3	Lepton–colour mixing	Leptoquarks (X/Y bosons)	$\Lambda_{\text{GUT}} \sim 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$
R2	$W \neq \chi$ (parity)	W_R^\pm (L-R symmetry)	$\sim 10^{4-15} \text{ GeV}$
R4	ν_R exclusion	EW symmetry breaking	$v = 246 \text{ GeV}$

Concretely:

- **R3** enforces $LQ=0 \Leftrightarrow C=00$, separating leptons from quarks. Before R3 is enforced (above Λ_{GUT}), lepton number is the fourth colour: this is exactly $SU(4)_C$ of Pati-Salam, where quarks and leptons unify into a single colour-4 multiplet.
- **R2** enforces $W = \chi$, locking weak interactions to left-handed chirality. Before R2 is enforced, right-handed particles couple to the weak force: this is $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ symmetry. R2 breaks $SU(2)_R$.
- **R4** removes ν_R from the propagating spectrum. This is the final electroweak breaking $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_{\text{EM}}$.

- **R1** bounds the number of generations. Above the Planck scale, the (1, 1) generation state is active (four generations, full 256-space), connecting to the Part XVII cosmological boundary condition $w(0) = -1$.

The complete Pati-Salam chain is:

$$\underbrace{SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R}_{256\text{-space}} \xrightarrow{R3} \underbrace{SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}}_{96\text{-space}} \xrightarrow{R2} \underbrace{SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y}_{48\text{-space}} \xrightarrow{R1} \dots \quad (14)$$

with R1 providing the cosmological boundary at M_P .

The 8-bit circlette code does not *resemble* the Pati-Salam group. It *is* the Pati-Salam group, expressed in \mathbb{F}_2 arithmetic, with its four parity checks serving as the four sequential symmetry-breaking steps.

9 Discussion

9.1 What is established

The following results are proven by exact computation on \mathbb{F}_2^8 :

1. The Colour Firewall (Theorem 1): an absolute topological barrier between the quark and lepton Feshbach channels.
2. The symmetry-breaking pattern: $[\Sigma, SU(3)_C] = 0$, $[\Sigma, SU(2)_L] \neq 0$.
3. The 3+1 structural isomorphism: $3\nu_R + 1\text{ R4 rule} \leftrightarrow 3\text{ Goldstone} + 1\text{ Higgs}$.
4. The seesaw product identity: $|E_1| \cdot |E_2| = 1$.
5. The algebraic transition: $\varphi \rightarrow \sqrt{2}$ under Feshbach projection.
6. The Pati-Salam identification of R1–R4 with the four symmetry-breaking stages.
7. The dissolution of the hierarchy problem via $y_t^{\text{fund}} \sim 10^{-26}$.

9.2 What remains open

1. The absolute electroweak scale $v = 246$ GeV (the R4 enforcement energy, analogous to the Higgs VEV).
2. The physical Higgs boson as a propagating 125 GeV scalar (requires the phonon dispersion relation on the lattice).
3. The gauge boson mass mechanism (how the $(\nu_L + e_L)/\sqrt{2}$ Goldstone-like mode is absorbed by W^\pm/Z).
4. The precise R2 energy scale (constrained to 10^{4-15} GeV by collider and neutrino mass bounds).
5. Quantitative quark mass ratios from the double Feshbach path counting (the m_d/m_u ratio from step counts gives ~ 3.0 vs. experimental 2.16; the correct sign and order of magnitude, but not yet sub-percent precision).

10 Conclusion

The Feshbach projection through the R4-forbidden ν_R channel produces the complete structural skeleton of electroweak symmetry breaking: the correct symmetry-breaking pattern, the Goldstone mode counting, the seesaw identity, and the dual mass-generation mechanism separated by the colour firewall. The identification of R3-violating intermediates as leptoquarks connects the 8-bit circlette directly to Pati-Salam grand unification, while the $\sim 10^{-26}$ suppression of the fundamental quark–Higgs coupling behind the colour firewall dissolves the hierarchy problem without supersymmetry, extra dimensions, or fine-tuning.

The four boolean rules on \mathbb{F}_2^8 are not an approximation to the Standard Model. They are the \mathbb{F}_2 encoding of the Pati-Salam group $SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$, with the sequential enforcement of each parity check corresponding to one stage of cosmological symmetry breaking from the Planck scale to the electroweak scale.

References

- [1] G. 't Hooft, *Naturalness, chiral symmetry, and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking*, NATO Sci. Ser. B **59**, 135 (1980).
- [2] R. Landauer, *Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process*, IBM J. Res. Dev. **5**, 183 (1961).
- [3] H. Feshbach, *Unified theory of nuclear reactions*, Ann. Phys. **5**, 357 (1958).
- [4] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, *Lepton number as the fourth “color”*, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 275 (1974).
- [5] K. Abe et al. (Super-Kamiokande), *Search for proton decay via $p \rightarrow e^+\pi^0$ and $p \rightarrow \mu^+\pi^0$* , Phys. Rev. D **102**, 112011 (2020).
- [6] M. Aaboud et al. (ATLAS), *Observation of Higgs boson production in association with a top quark pair*, Phys. Lett. B **784**, 173 (2018).
- [7] A. Abada et al. (FCC), *FCC-ee: The Lepton Collider*, Eur. Phys. J. ST **228**, 261 (2019).
- [8] D. G. Elliman, *The Holographic Circlette: Part X*, Neuro-Symbolic Ltd (2026).
- [9] D. G. Elliman, *The Holographic Circlette: Part XV*, Neuro-Symbolic Ltd (2026).
- [10] D. G. Elliman, *The Holographic Circlette: Part XVII*, Neuro-Symbolic Ltd (2026).